Operator: CRAWFORD COUNTY GAS GATHERING, LLC	Operator ID#: 32639
Inspection Date(s): 11/7/2012	Man Days: 1
Inspection Unit: Crawford County Gas, LLC	<u> </u>
Location of Audit: Lawrenceville	
Exit Meeting Contact: James Shuffett	
Inspection Type: Standard Inspection - Record Audit	
Pipeline Safety Representative(s): Charles Gribbins	
Company Representative to Receive Report: Don Jones	
Company Representative's Email Address: donjones@pioneeroil.net	

Headquarters Address Information:	R. R. 4	
	Box 142B	
	Lawrenceville, IL 62439	
	Emergency Phone#:	
	Fax#:	
Official or Mayor's Name:	Don Jones	
	Phone#: (618) 943-5314	
	Email: donjones@pioneeroil.net	
Inspection Contact(s)	Title	Phone No.
James Shuffett	Owner Wildcat Gas Analysis	

Gas Syst	em Operations	Status
Gas Transporter		Not Applicable
General Comment:		•
The operator supplies natural gas to Texas Gas Transmission.		
Miles of Main		.279 miles
Confirm Operator's Potential Impact Radius Calcula	tions	108
Annual Report (Form 7100.1-1) reviewed for the year	ar:	Satisfactory
Regulatory F	Reporting Records	Status
191.5	Were Telephonic Notices of Incidents reported to the NRC (800-424-8802)?	Not Applicable

General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any Incidents in 2011.		
191.15(a)	Was a DOT Incident Report Form F7100.2 submitted within 30 days after detection of an incident?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any Incidents in 2011.		
191.15(b)	Were there any supplemental incident reports when deemed necessary?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any Incidents in 2011.		
191.23(a)(2),191.23(a)(5),191.23(a)(6),191.23(a)(8)	Did the operator report Safety Related Conditions?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any safety related conditions in 2	2011.	
191.25	Did the Operator file a Safety Related Condition Report within 5 working days of determination, but not later than 10 working days after discovery?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any safety related conditions in 2	2011.	
192.16(c),192.16(d)	Customer Notification: Has the operator notified each customer after the customer first receives gas at a particular location?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	1.	
This is a transmission operator and does not have any customers	s on the transmission line.	
DRUG	TESTING	Status
Refer to Drug and Alcohol Inspection Forms and Pro	otocols	Not Checked
General Comment:		
A drug and alcohol inspection will be performed at a later date.		
TEST REQUIREMENTS		Status
192.505,192.507,192.509,192.511(c)	Are pressure test records being maintained for piping operating above 100 psig?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not performed any pressure test in 2011.		
192.511,192.509,192.513	Are pressure test records being maintained for at least 5 years on piping operating below 100 psig?	Not Applicable

General Comment:		
The operator does not have any piping that operation	tes below 100 psig.	
192.515(b)	Did the operator maintain documentation establishing that the test medium was disposed of in a manner that minimized danger to the environment?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not conduct any testing that requ	ired test medium and disposal.	
	UPRATING	Status
192.555	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of 30% or more SMYS?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator has not conducted any uprating in 2	011.	
192.557	Has the operator maintained documentation of uprating activities when uprating a pipe to a pressure that will produce a hoop stress of less than 30% SMYS?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
The operator has not conducted any uprating in 20	011.	
	OPERATIONS	Status
192.605(a)	Has the operator conducted a review of the Operations and Maintenance Manual once per yr/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
The plan was reviewed as required.		
Has the operator conducted a review of	the Operator Qualification Plan once per yr/15 months?	No
General Comment:		
Staff advised the operator about reviewing the Op	erator Qualification plan once each year not exceeding 15 months.	
192.605(b) (3)	Are construction records, maps, and operating history available to operating personnel?	Satisfactory
192.003(b) (3)	available to operating personner?	
General Comment:	available to operating personner?	
General Comment:		Satisfactory
General Comment:  The operator provided the following items; constru	Has the operator periodically reviewed personnel's work to determine the effectiveness of normal O&M procedures	Satisfactory

192.605(c)(1) (i)	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of unintended	Not Applicable
	closure of valves or shutdowns?	тост фрош.
General Comment:		
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c)(1)(ii)	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of increase or decrease in pressure or flow rate outside normal operating limits?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c)(1)(iii	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of loss of communications?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c)(1)(iv)	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of operation of any safety device?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c)(1)(v)	Does the operator maintain documentation for responding to, investigating, and correcting the cause of any other foreseeable malfunction of a component, deviation from normal operation, or personnel error which may result in a hazard to persons or property?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c) (2)	Does the operator maintain documentation of checking variations from normal operation after abnormal operation has ended at sufficient critical locations in the system to determine continued integrity and safe operation?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
The operator has not experienced any abnorm	nal operating conditions in 2011.	
192.605(c) (3)	Does the operator maintain documentation of notifying responsible operator personnel when notice of an abnormal operation is received?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		

192.605(c) (4)	Does the operator maintain documentation for periodically reviewing the response of operator personnel to determine the effectiveness of the procedures controlling abnormal operation and taking corrective action where deficiencies are found?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not experienced any abnormal	operating conditions in 2011.	
192.619,192.621,192.623	Is the operator maintaining documentation verifying their Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure(s)? (MAOP)	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
MAOP 720#		
CONTIN	IUING SURVEILLANCE RECORDS	Status
Category Comment:		
Staff reviewed the following documents: Form 501 Cathodic Protection Survey Report Form 505 Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection Rep Form 602 Pipeline Patrol Report	port	
Form 604 Leak Inspection location Report Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re	eport	
	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?	Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance	Satisfactory Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re 192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance	
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re 192.613(a) 192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for failures?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance	Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Ref 192.613(a) 192.613(a) 192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for failures?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for leak history?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance	Satisfactory Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re 192.613(a) 192.613(a) 192.613(a) 192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for failures?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for leak history?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for corrosion?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance	Satisfactory Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re 192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for failures?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for leak history?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for corrosion?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for changes in cathodic protection requirements?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for other unusual operating and maintenance	Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory
Form 611 Continuing Surveillance Inspection Re 192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)  192.613(a)	Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for class location changes?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for failures?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for leak history?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for corrosion?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for changes in cathodic protection requirements?  Has the operator reviewed continuing surveillance records for other unusual operating and maintenance conditions?	Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory

QUALIFI	CATION OF PIPELINE PERSONNEL	Status
Refer to operator Qualification Inspect	ion Forms and Protocols	Not Checked
General Comment:		
A Operator Qualification inspection will be perfo	rmed at a later date	
DAN	IAGE PREVENTION RECORDS	Status
191.17(a)	Did the operator track the number of damages per 1000 locate requests for the previous years?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not had any locate request in	the area of the pipeline since the pipeline has been installed.	
Has the number of damages increased	d or decreased from prior year?	Remained the same no damages.
192.617	Does the operator track records of accidents due to excavation damage to ensure causes of failures are addressed to minimize the recurrence?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
There have not been any accidents due to exca	vation damage.	
192.614(c) (3)	Does the operator provide documentation pertaining to notification of excavation, marking, positive response, and the availability and use of the one call system?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
There has not been any locates called in for the	location of the pipeline.	
Does the operator have a Quality Assufacilities?	urance Program in place for monitoring the locating and marking of	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does its own locating and does no	t have a quality assurance program.	
Do pipeline operators include performance measures in facility locating contracts?		Not Applicable
General Comment:		I
The operator does its own locating and does no	t have a quality assurance program.	
IL ADM. CO.265.100(b)(1)	Was third party damage to mains involving a release of gas reported to ICC JULIE Enforcement? http://www.icc.illinois.gov/julie/	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any excavation	damages since 2011 that required reporting to ICC JULIE Enforcement.	
Has the Operator adopted applicable s	section of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices?	No

General Comment:		
The operator stated that they had not adopte	ed any of the Common Ground Alliance Best Practices.	
If no, were Common Ground Alliand	ce Best Practices discussed with Operator?	Yes
General Comment:		
Staff did discuss the best practices with the	operator.	
	EMERGENCY PLANS	Status
192.615(b) (1)	Are supervisors, responsible for emergency action, furnished copies of the latest edition of the Emergency Plan?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator provided copies of the emerge	ncy plan to both employees.	
192.615(b) (2)	Has the operator maintained documentation that the appropriate operating personnel have received training to assure they are knowledgeable of emergency procedures and that the training was effective?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Training records were reviewed to confirm of	perating personnel had received training.	
192.615(b) (3)	Has the operator maintained documentation of employee activity reviews to determine whether the procedures were effectively followed in each emergency?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not experienced any emer	gencies in 2011.	
192.615(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation that the operator established and maintained liaison with appropriate fire, police and other public officials?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator mailed out Emergency Resporto them about the information that was provide	nse Personal; Safety Information Manual Natural Gas. Advised the operator to call the head of e ided.	each agency and talk
Issue Comment:		
The operator mailed out the emergency plan the operator to meet with or maintain liaison	n to all the emergency responder around the pipeline but did not make contact with each departs with appropriate fire, police, and other public officials and to include this meeting this year.	ment. Staff advised
192.615(a) (3)	Did the review of emergency response time intervals regarding odor/leak complaint documentation indicate adequate emergency response intervals were achieved?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator is not required to odorize the g	as in a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.	
Did documentation reviewed define	when emergency response time intervals exceeded established operator	Not Applicable

	eceived and dispatched?	
General Comment:		
The operator is not required to odorize the gas in	n a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.	
Did documentation reviewed define wh parameters for time interval between d	en emergency response time intervals exceeded established operator ispatched and arrival?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator is not required to odorize the gas in	n a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.	
192.615(a) (11),192.631	Has the operator maintained documentation of actions that were required to be taken by a controller during and emergency?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does not maintain a control room.		
PUBLIC A	WARENESS PROGRAM - RECORDS	Status
Refer to Public Awareness Program Ins	spection Forms and Protocols	Not Checked
General Comment:	·	
The Public Awareness Program to be inspected	at a later date.	
	ODORIZATION OF GAS	Status
192.625(f)	Where required, has the operator maintained documentation of odorant concentration level testing?	Not Applicable
192.625(f)  General Comment:		Not Applicable
General Comment:		Not Applicable
General Comment:	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?	Not Applicable  Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator is not required to odorize the gas in	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, is the operator using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which the odor becomes readily detectable? (at 1/5th the	
General Comment: The operator is not required to odorize the gas in 192.625(a) (f) General Comment:	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, is the operator using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which the odor becomes readily detectable? (at 1/5th the	
General Comment: The operator is not required to odorize the gas in 192.625(a) (f) General Comment:	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, is the operator using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which the odor becomes readily detectable? (at 1/5th the LEL)	
General Comment: The operator is not required to odorize the gas in 192.625(a) (f)  General Comment: The operator is not required to odorize the gas in	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, is the operator using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which the odor becomes readily detectable? (at 1/5th the LEL)  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, has the operator maintained	Not Applicable
General Comment:  The operator is not required to odorize the gas in 192.625(a) (f)  General Comment:  The operator is not required to odorize the gas in 192.625(e)  General Comment:	documentation of odorant concentration level testing?  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, is the operator using an instrument capable of determining the percentage of gas in air at which the odor becomes readily detectable? (at 1/5th the LEL)  In a transmission line, 100% of the line is in a class one location.  Where required, has the operator maintained	Not Applicable

192.705	Does the operator maintain documentation of a patrol program as required?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
Form 602 Pipeline Patrol Report Form		
192.706	Does the operator maintain documentation of leakage survey(s) performed on a transmission pipeline?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	·	
Information taken from Leak Survey Report P	Pioneer Transmission Line. Leak survey conducted 5 times in 2011.	
ABANDONMENT o	or DEACTIVATION of FACILITIES PROCEDURES	Status
192.727(b)	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each pipeline abandoned in place was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas, and purged of gas?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not abandoned any piping a	and was not required to purge any pipeline.	
192.727(c)	Did the operator maintain documentation demonstrating that each inactive pipeline that is not being maintained under this part was disconnected from all sources and supplies of gas; purged of gas?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
The operator has not abandoned any piping a	and was not required to purge any pipeline.	
192.727(e)	Did the operator maintain documentation when air was used for purging that a combustible mixture was not present after purging?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	The state of the s	
The operator has not abandoned any piping a	and was not required to purge any pipeline.	
192.727(g)	Did the operator maintain documentation for each abandoned onshore pipeline facility that crosses over, under or through a commercially navigable waterway?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not abandoned any pipeline	es under commercially navigable waterways.	
	COMPRESSOR STATION	Status
192.731(a)	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor station relief devices at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	•	
	ve any jurisdictional compressors.	

192.731(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation compressor station emergency shutdown at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
At the present time the operator does not have any j	iurisdictional compressors.	
192.736(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation of the compressor stations – detection and alarms?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
At the present time the operator does not have any j	iurisdictional compressors.	
PRESSUR	E LIMITING AND REGULATION	Status
192.739(a)	Is the operator inspecting and testing the pressure limiting and regulating stations at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:	•	
This information taken from Form 608 Regulator Sta	ntion Inspection & Testing Report.	
192.743(a)	Is the operator inspecting pressure limiting and regulating stations for adequate capacity at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
This information taken from Form 607 Relief Valve I	nspection & Testing Report.	
192.743(b)	If the operator used calculations to determine sufficient capacity, were the calculation reviews documented at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator has provided documentation indicating	sufficient capacity on regulator and relief devices.	
192.743(a)(b),192.195(b)(2)	Is overpressure protection provided by the supplier pipeline downstream of the take point?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is not required on this transmission line.		
192.743(a)	If Yes, does the operator have documentation to verify that these devices have adequate capacity?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is not required on this transmission line.		
V	ALVE MAINTENANCE	Status
192.745(a) (b)	Did the operator inspect and partially operate transmission valves that might be required during any	Satisfactory

	emergency at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	
General Comment:	<u> </u>	
	e Report. MLV 2 and MLV 3. These were inspected twice each year.	
192.749	Did the operator inspect and maintain vaults > 200 cubic feet at a minimum of 1 per year/15 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator's system does not contain vaults.		
Investigat	ion Of Failures	Status
192.617	Did the operator experience accidents or failures requiring analysis?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator did not experience any accidents or failures in 2011	1.	
WELDING OF STEEL PIPE		Status
192.225(b)	Does the operator have documentation for their qualified welding procedure?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
Staff reviewed the welding procedures found in the operator's O8	RM Manual under section 14 Welding.	
192.277,192.229	Does the operator have documentation of welder qualification documentation as required?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not conducted any welding in the last few years	3.	
192.243(b) (2)	Does the operator have documentation of NDT personnel qualification as required?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		•
The operator does not use nondestructive testing on any of its pi	ping.	
192.243(f)	Does the operator have documentation of NDT testing performed?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		•
The operator does not use nondestructive testing on any of its pi	ping	
CORROSION CONTROL RECORDS		Status
192.491(a)	Has the operator maintained maps or records of cathodically protected piping, cathodic protection facilities, galvanic anodes, and neighboring structures bonded to the cathodic protection system	Satisfactory

General Comment:			
Staff reviewed the following Forms; Form 501 Cathodic Protection Survey Report Form 502 Insulating Devices Inspection Report Form 503 External Corrosion Inspection Form 505 Atmospheric Corrosion Inspection			
192.459	Has the operator maintained documentation of examination when buried pipe was exposed?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator has not exposed any buried pipe in 2011.			
192.465(a)	Has the operator maintained documentation of annual pipe-to-soil monitoring performed at a minimum of 1 per yr/15 months?	Satisfactory	
General Comment:			
Information taken from Form 501 Cathodic Protection Survey Report Form.			
192.465(a)	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests of isolated services or short sections of main less than 100 feet?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator's system does not contain any isolated sections of	main.		
192.465(b)	Has the operator maintained documentation of rectifier or other impressed current power sources inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator's system does not contain rectifiers or other impres	sed current powered sources.		
192.465(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation of each critical interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 6 per year/ 2 1/2 months?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator's system does not contain critical interference bond	ds, reverse current switches, or diodes.		
192.465(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation of each interference bond, reverse current switch, diode, etc. inspections at a minimum of 1 per year/ 15 months?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator's system does not contain critical interference bonds, reverse current switches, or diodes.			
192.465(d)	Has the operator taken prompt remedial actions to correct any deficiencies indicated by the monitoring?	Not Applicable	

General Comment:			
The operator did not have any deficiencies at the time of this audit.			
192.465(e)	Has the operator maintained documentation of unprotected pipeline surveys, inspections, or tests at a minimum of 3 years/39 months?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:	•		
The operator's system does not contain any unprotect	ed main.		
192.467(d)	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests for electrical isolation including casings?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator's system does not contain any casings.			
192.469	Does the operator have a sufficient number of test stations or other contact points for electrical measurement to determine the adequacy of cathodic protection?	Satisfactory	
General Comment:	·		
There are three test points there is only 1475 feet of pl	ipe, one test point on each end and one in the middle.		
192.471	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective actions taken when a test lead is no longer electrically conductive?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator indicated that there have not been any is	ssues with test leads.		
192.473(b)	Has the operator maintained documentation of inspections or tests to assure their cathodic protection system is not affecting adjacent underground metallic structures?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:	·		
The operator stated that there are no underground me	stallic structures in the area of the transmission line.		
192.475(a)	Has the operator maintained documentation of investigations or steps taken to minimize internal corrosion due to transportation of corrosive gas?	Not Applicable	
General Comment:			
The operator does not transport corrosive gas.			
192.475(b)	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal surface inspections performed when pipe is removed for any reason?	Not Applicable	

General Comment:		
The operator indicated that they check for internal corrosion inspected for internal corrosion.	when ever they inspect the regulator. The operator stated that if any pipe is rer	moved it would also be
192.476(d)	Has the operator maintained documentation of written procedures supported by as-built drawings or other construction records?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator provided as-built and construction records.		
192.477	Has the operator maintained documentation of internal corrosion coupon monitoring at a minimum of 2 per year/7 1/2 months?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator does not perform internal corrosion coupon mo.	nitoring.	
192.479	Has the operator maintained documentation of corrective action where atmospheric corrosion was discovered?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator coats and paints all above ground piping.		
192.481	Has the operator maintained documentation of atmospheric corrosion control monitoring at a minimum of 1 per 3 years/ 39 months?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
This information taken from Form 505- Atmospheric Corrosic	on Inspection Report FormCrawford County Gas Gathering.	
192.483(a)(b)(c)	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that pipe removed due to external corrosion has been repaired or replaced with pipe that was coated and cathodically protected?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
The operator has not removed any pipe on the 1475 feet of to	ransmission line.	
TRAINING - 83 IL ADM. CODE 520		Status
520.10(a)	Has the operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		
The operator provided documentation of training records		
520.10(a)	Do training records include verbal instruction and/or on the job training for each job classification?	Satisfactory
General Comment:		

The operator provided notes indicating that verbal inst	tructions were given to several employees.	
520.10(b)	Has the municipal operator maintained documentation demonstrating that personnel have received adequate training?	Not Applicable
General Comment:		
This is a requirement for municipals Crawford County	Gathering is a transmission operator.	
520.10(a)(5)	Are procedures periodically updated to include new materials, new methods of operation and installation, and changes in general procedures?	Not Applicable
General Comment:	·	
New operator has not had to change any procedures of	on the O&M Plan.	